

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION THREE
OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

FILED

NOV 28 2012

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION III
STATE OF WASHINGTON
By _____

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
)
 Respondent,)
)
 v.)
)
 UNITERS LEWIS LOVE)
 (your name))
)
 Appellant.)

CASE # 308090
No. SUPERIOR COURT # 10102667-4

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL
GROUNDS FOR REVIEW

I, UNITERS LEWIS LOVE, have received and reviewed the opening brief prepared by my attorney. Summarized below are the additional grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. I understand the Court will review this Statement of Additional Grounds for Review when my appeal is considered on the merits.

Additional Ground 1

ONE OF THE ISSUES YOU HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT, AND RIGHTLY SO, IS THAT JUDGE MARYANN MORENO'S BIASENESS, THAT SHE SHOULD HAVE RECUSED HERSELF IN THIS CASE, NOW ACCORDING TO THE RECORD "NOTHING WAS SAID- HOWEVER: THERE WAS MUCH TO BE SAID AT NUMEROUS TIMES, TRYING DESPERATELY TO APPROACH THE BENCH AND IMPOLITELY; OFFENSIVE, TOLD TO SIT DOWN OR BE IN CONTEMPT OF COURT. MORESO: WHAT WAS NEEDED TO BE SAID WITHIN MY ATTEMPTS TO APPROACH THE BENCH, WAS REGARDING JUDGE MORENO'S INVOLVEMENT IN CIVIL CASE MATTER CONNECTED TO 1-3 CURRENT CASES, IN THE OVERTURNING OF PRO TEMP JULIA DEIEC'S

Additional Ground 2

RULING OF A WRIT OF RESTITUTION, JAN 19th 2011- AND (2). IN WHICH DATE I WAS ARRESTED DURING THIS HEARING ON THE BAIL JUMPING CHARGE, WHICH THAT PARTICULAR OMNIBUS HEARINGS WAS AND HAD BEEN RESCHEDULED (SEE INFORMATION) ALSO CONNECTED TO 1-OF 3 CURRENT CASES! I AM NOW REALIZING THIS ABUSE OF PROCESS, AND HAVE COME TO THE CONCLUSION, ALL THINGS CONSIDERED, EVEN FROM THE EXCEPTION OF THESE CASES, COMMENCING IN OCTOBER 2010, IS THIS:

If there are additional grounds, a brief summary is attached to this statement.

Date: 11-26-2012
Form 23

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

A BUSE OF PROCESS; THE IMPROPER, AND TARTIOUS USE OF A LEGITIMATELY ISSUED COURT PROCESS THAT WAS ABUSED AT THE SOLE CULPABLE, MALFEASANCE, ASSENT, ACTIVELY KNOWING; AIDING AND ABETTING IN DIRECT CONTRIBUTION TO THE INFRAINGING VIOLATIONS OF SPECIFIC DUTIES OF THE PROSECUTORS, WHEREBY; THE MORAL WRONGFUL CONCEALMENT OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE; THE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF SPOKANE, AND ITS EMPLOYEE; SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, MARY ANN MORENO PRESIDING.

THE CONTINUED DERELICTION OF DUTY BY: STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF SPOKANE AND EMPLOYEES; IN PARTICULAR DEPUTY PROSECUTOR GEORGE W. GAGNON III OF THE SPOKANE COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE, KENNETH L. KNOX AND CAROL D. HUNEKE, OF THE SPOKANE COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE. EACH TORTFEASOR IN THEIR OWN DISTINCT UNLAWFUL; IMPROPER BEHAVIOR AND WILLFUL WRONGS OF MISCONDUCT; MISREPRESENTATION WITH INTENT TO DEPRIVE BY DESIGN; DELIBERATELY CONCEALING EXCULPATORY MATERIAL FACTS.

THIS KNOWN KNOWLEDGE BY THIS CHAIN CONSPIRACY, HAVING THE REBUTTILING EVIDENCE IN ITS POSSESSION AND CONTROL; BEING MOST CRITICAL TO THE OUTCOME OF THIS TRIAL.

THIS CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE AND MOST RELEVANT INDICATING THINGS TO BE PROVE-UP AND OR DISPROVE IS HIGHLY CREDIBLE CONCLUSIVE PROOF.

THESE TORTFEASORS CONCURRENT, CONSECUTIVE, JOINT, SUCCESSIVE, AND CONTINUING INTENTIONAL ACTS; WILLFULLY IN THE DELIBERATIVE MALICIOUS AFFIRMATION MISCONDUCT; AT THE DISCRETION OF THE HONORABLE JUDGE; MARY ANA MARETO, WORKING IN CONJUNCT WITH DEPUTY PROSECUTOR GEORGE W. GAGNON III OF THE SPOKANE COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE, KENNETH L. KNOX AND CAROL D. HUNEKE OF SPOKANE COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE.

EACH CONSPIRATORS RECKLESS DISREGARD WILL CHARACTERIZE THE CREATION MUCH MORE THAN MERE NEGLIGENCE; IT IS A GROSS DEVIATION FROM WHAT ANY REASONABLE PRUDENT COURT OR PERSON WOULD DO BEYOND THE PROCESS'S SCOPE. THEIR WILLFUL ACTS WERE RECKLESS; WHEN IT WAS FORESEEN AND DESIRED BY EACH WRONGDOER AND THEIR FORESIGHT AND DESIRE RESULTED IN THE ACTS THROUGH THE OPERATION OF THE WILL TO OBTAIN AND ACHIEVE FAVORABLE RESULTS. THESE INTENTIONAL ACTS BY THESE MISCREANTS WILL DIRECT TO THAT END.

THE MISPRISION OF EACH ACTOR IN THEIR CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR WILL DEMAND ACTION WHETHER CIVIL OR CRIMINAL IN THE SENSE OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS; AND SEPARATE ACTION ALONE BY EACH PARTICIPANT WHO ARE JOINT AUTHORS COLLABORATING IN PRODUCING THE SAME INTENTIONAL VIOLATIONS WILLFUL AND WANTON MISCONDUCT; CONTRIBUTING WITH THE INTENT OF RECKLESS DISREGARD FOR THE SAFETY OF "OTHERS" BY FAILING PRE-EXISTINGLY TO EXERCISE ORDINARY CARE TO PREVENT A KNOWN DANGER THAT HARM AND INJURY, ETC: WOULD BE THE SCHEMES OUTCOME; STEMMING FROM ITS FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION OF MALICIOUS USE OF PROCESS.

HOWEVER: THESE CONSPIRATORS OF MISFEASANCE IN PUBLIC OFFICE, HAS IN FACT MISUSED AND ABUSED THEIR POWERS EXCESSIVELY, MALICIOUSLY AND INTENTIONALLY BY: FAILING TO OBSERVE THE STANDARDS OF CARE REQUIRED BY LAW UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF WHICH THIS CONSPIRACY HAS EXERCISED STATUTORY POWER BY EACH PUBLIC OFFICER: WRONGLY,

THIS CONSPIRACIES MALICIOUS ACTS THAT WOULD FORBID THEM TO DO SOMETHING; A DUTY THAT REQUIRES SOMEONE TO OBSTAIN FROM SOMETHING; DISCRETIONARY DUTY THAT ALLOWS THESE PARTICIPANTS TO EXERCISE JUDGEMENT AND WILLFULLY CHOOSE TO PERFORM GROSSLY AND CARELESSLY; WILL NOT NECESSARILY IMPLY MALICE; NEVERTHELESS; IT WILL INVOLVE MORE THAN JUST KNOWLEDGE; MORESO: IT IS THE DIRECT AND CLEAR ACTUAL MALICE, AND KNOWLEDGE OF SUCH INFORMATION AS WOULD LEAD A REASONABLE PRUDENT OR PERSON TO INQUIRE FURTHER; ACTUAL KNOWLEDGE KNOWING SOMETHING; HAVING RECEIVED INFORMATION, INFORMATION FOR WHICH ONE COULD REFER TO THE EXISTENCE OF THE RELEVANT FACTS

THE DISCOVERY ABUSE OF CRUCIAL EVIDENCE THAT THESE MISCREANTS DELIBERATELY CONCEALED; INCLUDES: ORIGINAL NOTORIZED AFFIDAVITS OF NOTICE AND SERVICE, LAWSUITS OF SPECIFIC PERFORMANCES, OF WHICH THE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF SPOKANE AND ITS EMPLOYEES HAS CERTIFICATION OF AND KNOWLEDGE; WHICH WAS GROSSLY CONCEALED, MORESO: EVIDENCE INCLUDING DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE; SUCH AS TANGIBLE OBJECTS, WITNESSES, PERTINENT TAPE, VOICEMAIL INFORMATION, LEASE PURCHASE AND SALE CONTRACTS WITH OPTION,

30F3

LOAN DOCUMENTS, THAT WOULD TEND TO PROVE OR DISPROVE
THE EXISTENCE OF THE ALLEGED FACTS OF REMAINING (S)
COUNTS OF 2ND DEGREE THEFT.

4 OF 4

COMES NOW: PRETAINING TO 1 OF 3 CURRENT CASES [CASE# 2011-01-00654-0] LYN MOSS; 2ND DEGREE THEFT, WHICH IS CONNECTED TO [CASE# 2011-0200-115-1] SHEFFIELD V. LOVE; CIVIL MATTER, EVICTION, WRIT OF RESTITUTION; WHEREBY: JUDGE MARY ANN MORENO PRESIDING IN CONFLICT OF INTEREST OF THIS PROCEEDING, OVERTURNING - PROTEMP JUDGE JULIA PELEC'S DECISION OF WRIT OF RESTITUTION ON JAN 19TH 2011; IN FAVOR OF DEPUTY PROSECUTOR GEORGE W GAGNON^{III}.

CONSEQUENTLY, DURING THE HEARING OF JAN 19TH 2011; DETECTIVE CORY A. TURMAN BADGE# 00407; OF THE SPOKANE COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT; SERVED A WARRANT FOR MY ARREST IN REGARDS TO THE BAIL JUMPING CHARGE [CASE# 2011-01-00658-2] THIS BAIL JUMPING CHARGE IS IN DIRECT CONNECTION WITH CURRENT [CASE# 2010-01-02667-4] REGARDING THE REMAINING (5) COUNTS OF 2ND DEGREE THEFT-OF VICTIMS [DAWES, OLIVER, BRADLEY, HALL, LAIL.]

THIS [CASE# 2010-01-02667-4] REGARDING A MISSED OMNIBUS HEARING ON JAN 2ND 2011; THE ONLY COURT DATE I HAVE EVER MISSED; NEVERTHELESS: I CALLED CAROL D. HUMERE THE APPOINTED PUBLIC DEFENDER AT THAT TIME NUMEROUS TIMES BEFORE THE HEARING DATE, BEING I HAD FORGOTTEN WHAT DATE AND TIME IT WAS, I NEVER RECEIVED A RETURN CALL OR ANY MESSAGE BACK REGARDING TIME, DATE OR ANYTHING FROM THE PUBLIC DEFENDER OFFICE, OR CAROL D. HUMERE AFTER LEAVING MESSAGE AFTER MESSAGE.

5 OF 5

EVENTUALLY CAROL D. HUNEKE RETURNED THE CALL AND RESCHEDULED THE OMNIBUS HEARING BY THE COURTS, LONG BEFORE THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED THE BAIL JUMPING CHARGE ON MARCH 2ND 2011. THIS INFORMATION ON THE RESCHEDULING IS ALSO CONCEALED, OF WHICH I HAVE PROOF AND DOCUMENTATION. I WAS ARRESTED ON JAN 19TH 2011 AT 11AM, AND DURING THIS HEARING, SHEFFIELD V. LOVE; [CASE# 2011-0200-115-1] AND IN DIRECT CONNECTION TO CURRENT [CASE# 2011-01-00654-0] LYNN MOSS; 2ND DEGREE THEFT. 'NOTE SEE' FABRICATION ON [CASE# 2011-0200-115-1] SHEFFIELD V. LOVE]? LATER ON THAT DAY ON JAN 19TH 2011; I WAS BOOKED ABOUT 2PM; IN THE SPOKANE COUNTY JAIL.

REGARDING: [CASE# 2011-01-00658-6] UNLAWFUL ISSUANCE OF BANK CHECK - MARK V. LOVE; PRETAINING TO LEASE PURCHASE AND SALE AGREEMENT WITH OPTION. I WITHDREW THE OFFER, VIA RECISSION; DUE TO THREATS TO KILL WITH A FIRE ARM MADE BY VACLAV MARK, ALSO INVOLVING DETECTIVE CORY A. TURMAN BRIDGE# 00407; THE INVESTIGATIONS OFFICER IN THESE TRANSACTIONS OF THE SPOKANE COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT.

NEVERTHELESS: NOTHING WAS EVER DONE ABOUT THIS THREAT, OR EVEN QUESTIONED IN THIS SECTION OF THIS CASE. BUT I DID MANAGE TO FILE A POLICE REPORT WITH THE SPOKANE COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND TO KNOW AVALI; OF COURSE, "SEE REPORT!" AS YOU KNOW THE SPOKANE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT DISMISSED THIS CASE. "ON PRETENSE!"....

6 OF 6

FURTHERMORE: THE DISCOVERY ABUSE WAS RECKLESSLY CONTINUED BY THIS COLLUSION, BY NOT INQUIRING OVERBROAD REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION THAT IS NECESSARY, AND WOULD INCLUDE NOT ONLY DIRECT VIOLATION OF THE RULE, AS BY FAILING TO RESPOND TO DISCOVERY REQUEST WITHIN THE STATED TIME, BUT ALSO MORE SUBTLE ATTEMPTS TO HARASS AND OBSTRUCT THE CLIENT AS BY GIVING OBVIOUS INADEQUATE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL.

SUCH INFORMATION IN THE POSSESSION OF THESE PARTICIPANTS AWARENESS THAT A PROHIBITED CIRCUMSTANCE IN FACT EXISTED, REGARDLESS OF WHICH THE CONSPIRATORS ACCEPTED THE RISK AND GOES ON TO ACT, AN AWARENESS OF UNDERSTANDING OF THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES; THE STATE OF MIND IN WHICH THESE TORTFEASORS OF MISCONDUCT IN OFFICE; OFFICERS CORRUPT VIOLATIONS OF ASSIGNED DUTIES BY MALFEASANCES THAT HAS NO SUBSTANTIAL DOUBT ABOUT THE EXISTENCE OF THE FACTS.

IT IS HOWEVER NECESSARY TO KNOW; TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN PRODUCING A RESULT INTENTIONALLY AND PRODUCING A RESULT KNOWINGLY; THESE NEGLIGENT ACTS BY THESE CRIMINALS WERE HIGHLY INTENTIONAL AND RECKLESS, HIGHLY KNOWLEDGABLE AND ACCEPTED TO PARTICIPATE IN CONJUNCT; "ONES" WHO GENERALLY INTENDED HAVING IN MIND A FIXED PURPOSE TO ACHIEVE A DESIRED OBJECTIVE FOREKNOWING THE CONSEQUENCES OF THEIR DISTINCTIVE WANTON ACTS OF MISCONDUCT.

7 OF 7

THESE UNREASONABLE DECISIONS THAT PORTRAYS THE MEMBERS OF WRONGDOINGS, THAT THEIR WILL NOT BE ANY EXCUSE OR MISTAKE OF LAW OR DIFFERENCE IN OPINION OF ANY REASONABLE MIND ABOUT THE ACTORS CARELESSNESS, FACTS AND CONDITIONS AND INSTANCES OF THIS CRIMINAL VENTURE; EITHER NOT HAVING DONE WHAT HE OR SHE OUGHT TO "HAVE" DONE OR HAVING DONE WHAT HE OR SHE OUGHT "NOT" HAVE DONE.

THESE UNETHICAL HUMAN BEINGS WHO HAS WRONGFULLY ENGAGED THEMSELVES IN THE GENERAL DISPOSITION; HAVING DONE WHAT HE OR SHE OUGHT "NOT" HAVING DONE; IN THEIR ERRONEOUS NATURE.

THIS DECLARATION HOPEFULLY; WILL NOT BE VIEWED AS BEING REDUNDANT OR REDUPLICATION THE ACTS OF THESE MISCREANTS; MORESO; THIS DECLARATION WILL CONTINUE TO DESCRIBE THE PERSISTENT PATTERN OF THIS INTENTIONAL INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL OF WRONGDOINGS OF EACH TORTFEASOR OF THIS CHAIN CONSPIRACY HAS COMMITTED SIMULTANEOUSLY; CAUSING HARM, INJURY BY DELIBERATE INADEQUATE COUNSEL.

KENNETH L. KNOX WSBA# 14667 WHO CONSEQUENTLY RETIRED AFTER SUCH CASE, MARCH 30TH 2011- AND CAROL D. HUNGER WSBA# 23065 WHO ARE IN FACT CO-CONSPIRATORS WHO WILL FULLY COMMITTED TO ASSIST THE STATE PROSECUTOR GEORGE W. GAGNON III WSBA# 28768, TO COMPEL THE CLIENT TO PLEAD GUILTY TO ALLEGED CHARGES, THE PROCESS OF WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS THE PROCESS AND TRADE MARK OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF SPOKANE, OF MALIBU'S PROSECUTION.

THE UNREASONABLE PERFORMANCES OF THESE INDIVIDUALS DURING THESE PROCEEDINGS, FROM THE EXCEPTION STARTING OCTOBER 25TH 2010 - IN PARTICULAR [CASE# 2010-01-02667-4] INVOLVING VICTIMS [DAWES, OLIVER, BRADLEY, HALL, LML.] (CHARGE: SECOND DEGREE THEFT, WITH INTENT TO DEPRIVE OF SUCH PROPERTY AND SERVICES. (2) [CASE# 2011-01-00658-2] BAIL JUMPING) CONNECTED TO [CASE# 2010-01-02667-4] RESCHEDULED) SEE DOCUMENTATION:

[CASE# 2011-01-00658-6) UNLAWFUL ISSUANCE OF BANK CHECK
 MARIK V. LOVE; INFORMATION OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE
 CONCEALED. (SEE ORIGINAL FILES...

[CASE# 2011-00654-0] SECOND DEGREE THEFT; LYN MOSS;
 THIS [CASE# CONNECTED TO [CASE# 2011-0200115-1] SHEFFIELD V.
 LOVE; CIVIL EVICTION, WRIT OF RESTITUTION) MUST SEE BOTH
 FILES". FABRICATION, ALTERATIONS, PERFORMED BY THIS
 CONSPIRACY." CONCLUSIVE PROOF IS AVAILABLE.

I WAS ARRESTED AGAIN MARCH 1ST 2011 REGARDING [CASE#
 2011-01-00654-0] LYN MOSS; 2ND DEGREE THEFT. VERY
 IMPORTANT CONNECTION TO [CASE# 2011-0200-115-1] SHEFFIELD V.
 LOVE; CIVIL MATTER, AND WHICH THIS EXCULPATORY INFORMATION
 IS ALSO CONCEALED. BY THIS GROUP OF INDIVIDUALS.

I HAVE BEEN INCARCERATED EVER SINCE.. THIS IS
 AN OUTRAGE!!

90F9

ALL ACTION BY THIS CONSPIRACY, UNDER THE DISCRETION OF THE HONORABLE JUDGE MARY ANN MORENO'S ASSENT TO DEVOTE FULL EFFORT TO DEPRIVE, TO MAKEUP AND FABRICATE, ALTER, TO MAKE A FAISE SHOW OF PRETENCE TO ACT AS IF THIS CONSPIRACY WERE ACTING IN THE BEST INTEREST OF ALIEN [FEIGN] WITH ALL INTENT AND PURPOSES TO ADVERSE DOMINATION, WHEREBY THE EQUITABLE PRINCIPAL THAT THE STATUTE OF LIMITATION ON A BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY CLAIM AGAINST THESE OFFICERS OF THE COURT, ESPECIALLY A JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS ACTION AGAINST THIS CONSPIRACY IS TOLLED BY JUDGE MARY ANN MORENO AND CONTROLLED BY GEORGE W. GAGNON III KENNETH L. KNOX CAROL D. HUMERE. THE WRONG DOERS.

THESE OFFICERS AND AGENTS OF THE COURT, WHO HAS PURPOSELY PREVENTED THEMSELVES IN HIDING WRONGFUL AND FRAUDULENT CONDUCT DURING THESE PROCEEDING ONLY TO THE BENEFIT OF THIS CONSPIRACY, WHICH ADVERSLY IMPACTED THE OUTCOME OF THIS TRIAL.

ONE WILL ASSERTAIN THE WILLFUL NEGLIGENCE OF KENNETH L. KNOX AND CAROL D. HUMERE IN DETERMINING WHETHER THIS TRIAL CASES RECEIVED INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL, GENERALLY CONSIDERING SOME FACTOR WHETHER THOSE LAWYERS HAD PREVIOUSLY HANDLED CRIMINAL CASES. NONETHELESS: THIS IS NOT "THE EXCUSE IN THIS CASE, IT IS THE FACT THAT MALICIOUS PROSECUTION WAS PRE-EXISTING WHEREBY THESE MISCREANTS, TORTFEASORS, DID IN FACT INDULGE THEMSELVES RECKLESSLY CHOOSING TO PARTICIPATE IN THEIR STRATEGIC TRIAL TACTICS OF THE PLANNED AGREEMENT.

9 OF 9

AT THE CONSENTING DISCRETION OF JUDGE MARY ANN
MORENO AND DEPUTY PROSECUTOR GEORGE W. GAGNON II
ALL OF WHICH ARE EMPLOYEES OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF SPOKANE.

THE RIGHTS TO ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL HAS BEEN TO IMPLY THE RIGHTS TO EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL, AND OFTEN SAID THAT THE CONVERSE - INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL IS A CONSTITUTIONAL DENIAL OF THE SIX AMENDMENT RIGHT, EVEN IF THE LAWYERS HAS BEEN RETAINED BY, RATHER THAN APPOINTED FOR THE CLIENT, IN THIS CASE INEFFECTIVE WILL NOT NECESSARY MEANS INCOMPETENT OR UNPREPARED, IT MEANS THE INTENTIONAL INABILITY TO PERFORM AS INDEPENDENT LAWYERS DEVOTED TO THE CLIENT.

HOWEVER: KENNETH L. KNOX AND CAROL D. HUNEKE'S ASSISTANCE IS NOT NECESSARY INEFFECTIVE BECAUSE THESE LAWYERS MADE MISTAKES, ONLY VERY SERIOUS DECISIONS ERRORS, SUCH AS, WOULD LIKELY TO HAVE PRODUCED AN ENTIRELY DIFFERENT OUTCOME.

THESE SERIOUS ERRORS; THAT KENNETH L. KNOX AND CAROL D. HUNEKE WILLFULLY MADE WHEN DUTY AROSE TO USE ORDINARY CARE, AND SKILL TO AVOID THE INJURY OF HARM, AND FORESEEABLE RISK TO THE CLIENT WITHIN THE RANGE OF APPREHENSION; A DUTY TO TAKE POSITIVE STEPS TO DO SOMETHING; ABOUT THE HARM THAT WAS INTENDED TO BE DONE; A DUTY THAT IS CONDITIONAL ON THE OCCURENCE OF AN EVENT OTHER THAN THE LAPS OF TIME; A DUTY TO TAKE SOME ACTION TO PREVENT HARM TO ANOTHER, AND FOR THE FAILURE OF WHICH ONE MAY BE LIABLE; DEPENDING ON THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE PARTIES AND CIRCUMSTANCES.

MORESO: THESE LAWYERS DUTY WAS TO THEIR RELATIONSHIPS PLANNED AGREEMENT AS CO-CONSPIRATORS AND NOT TO THEIR CLIENT, A DUTY TO THE CONSPIRACY, THE MORAL WRONGS AND BREACH; A NEGATIVE ACT, THE ACT THAT FORBIDS SOMEONE TO DO SOMETHING; THE DUTY THAT REQUIRES THESE LAWYERS TO OBSTAIN FROM WRONG DOINGS.

11 OF 11

THE CULPABLE WRONGFUL PRACTICE OF THE HONORABLE JUDGE MARY ANN MORENO; ASSETED NEGLIGENCE OF DEPUTY PROSECUTOR GEORGE W. GAGNON III AND KENNETH L. KNOX, CAROL D. HUNEKE; HAD THE RESPONSIBILITY TO DISCLOSE ALL KNOWN EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE AND ALL MATERIAL FACTS OF INFORMATION PERTAINING TO THESE TRANSACTIONS OF SUCH CASES; HOWEVER; CONCEALED THIS KNOWN CRUCIAL EVIDENCE; VIOLATING "THE SPECIFIC DUTIES OF THE PROSECUTOR OF WHICH JUDGE MARY ANN MORENO HAVING KNOWLEDGE OF ALL SUCH INFORMATION, AT THE EXCEPTION OF THIS CASE; OCTOBER 25TH 2010.

INFORMATION THAT PROVE AND DISPROVE; WHICH THE CLIENT RELIED UPON FOR THE TRUTH... NOTWITHSTANDING: JUDGE MARY ANN MORENO, AND FOR THE SAKE OF CONSPIRACIES RECKLESSLY; CONSENTING TO ALLOW THE CONCEALMENT OF SUCH CONCLUSIVE EVIDENCE; FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE TORTFEASORS AND FOR THE BENEFIT OF HERSELF.

THIS RECKLESSNESS SO INTENTIONAL IS THE DESIGNED PURPOSE WHICH THESE ACTS WERE COMMITTED; THE FOREKNOWLEDGE OF THE ACTS COUPLED WITH THE DESIRE OF IT; SUCH FOREKNOWLEDGE AND DESIRE OF THESE CULPRITS; BEING THE CAUSE TO ACT IN SO MUCH AS THEY FULFILL THEMSELVES THROUGH OPERATION OF THE WILL; ACTS WERE WILLFUL; BECAUSE IT EXISTED IN IDEA BEFORE IT EXISTED IN FACT; CONSEQUENTLY THE IDEA RELIZING IT SELF IN FACT BECAUSE OF THEIR DESIRE BY WHICH IT IS ACCOMPANIED.

12 OF 12

EVEN SO: THIS WRONGFUL ACT COMMITTED BY THESE STATE EMPLOYEES; OFFICERS OF THIS COURT; WHO HAS SIGNIFIED FULL ADVUETENCE IN THE MINDS OF THE PUBLIC AS TO CONDUCT, WHICH RAISES A VERY HIGH DEGREE OF CONCERN, AND TO ITS CONSEQUENCES IN CONJUNCTION, JOINTLY AND SEVERALLY WITH THOSE DESIRES OF JUDICIAL POWER BY SUCH INSTITUTION OF THE COURTS BY DETERMINING WHAT THE LAW IS; AND WHAT THE RIGHTS OF THE CLIENTS ARE INVOLVED; WITH REFERENCE TO TRANSACTIONS ALREADY HAD.

HOWEVER; THE WRONGDOERS CONTINUE THEIR QUEST. SPECIFICALLY TO ACCOMPLISH THE PRECISE CRIMINAL ACTS THAT RESULTED FROM EACH CO-CONSPIRATORS; INTENTIONAL FORESEEN DESIRES AS IF REQUIRED BY ALL PARTIES INVOLVED; THAT THE INTENT SURPASSES BEYOND ABUSE OF PROCESS; THAT REFLECTS THE OBJECTIVE FORSAKE OF WHICH THE ACTS WERE DONE.

"MOTIVE" IS IRRELEVANT AT THIS POINT.

THESE MISCREANTS KNOWINGLY MISREPRESENTING THE TRUTH; CONCEALING ALL RELEVANT EVIDENCE, MATERIAL FACTS, DISCOVER ABUSE; TO INDUCE ANY PERSON TO ACT TO THE CLIENTS DETRIEMENT, ESPECIALLY WHEN THE; THEIR CONDUCT WAS WILLEFUL IN ITS SELF.

THIS RECKLESSNESS OF THESE UNCONSCIONABLE, DECEITFUL USE OF JUDICIAL POWER ARISING OUT OF THE CONSPIRACIES RELATIVE DISTINCTIVE POSITION RESULTING IN THE CONSCIONABLE ACHIEVEMENT OF THEIR MINDS.

13 OF 13

THE DUTY OF LOYALTY IS NOT IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CLIENT, ON THE CONTRARY; IT REFLECTS THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CONSPIRACY; THE SCHEME WITHIN THE TORTIOUS, THEIR LOYALTY TO ONE ANOTHER TO ENGAGE IN SELF DEALING AND OTHERWISE USE OF THEIR POSITION TO FURTHER PERSONAL INTEREST, FOR EACH TORTFEASORS, TO THE BENEFIT OF SUCCESS AND THE FORESEEN AGREEMENT AMONG THEMSELVES.

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF SPOKANE AND ITS EMPLOYEES IN THEIR ABUSE OF LEGAL PROCESS; ITS RELATIONSHIP ARISING FROM STANDARDS OF CARE; THE VIOLATIONS OF WHICH HAS SUBJECTED EACH PARTICIPATING ACTOR TO LIABILITY, ACTS THAT CAUSE INJURY, ALARM, AND LOSSES TO THE CLIENT, AND WILL GIVE RISE TO CLAIM FOR ANY AND ALL DAMAGES.

THESE INFRACTIONS COMMITTED BY THESE INDIVIDUALS, ACTORS; WHO ACTED IN BREAKING AND DISHONORING THE LAW IT SELF; BREACH OF THE LAW, MORESO: CAUSING ACTION FOR LOSS OF SERVICE, HUSBAND'S LAWSUITE AGAINST EACH CO-CONSPIRATOR WHO HAS TAKEN AWAY AND IMPRISONED AND PHYSICALLY HARMED HIS WIFE IN CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH THESE ACTS IS WRONGFUL TO THE WIFE AND THE HUSBAND IS DEPRIVED OF HER SOCIETY AND SERVICES.

13 of 13

OTHER MISCONDUCTS FOR WHICH APPLICABLE
RULES SUBJECT THESE MEMBERS OF PARTICIPATION
TO PENALTIES AS IN "INCHOATE OFFENSES," THE
STEP TOWARD THE COMMISSION OF OTHER CRIMES,
THE STEP IN ITSELF SERIOUS ENOUGH TO MERIT
PUNISHMENT.

14 OF 14

THESE ATTEMPTS WERE WILLFUL AND WRONG, THE ANTICIPATED SOLICITATION COMMITTED BY THIS INSTITUTION JOINTLY HAS ABUSED THE LEGAL PROCESS MALICIOUSLY, WITH ACTUAL MALICE OF EACH PARTICIPANTS OVERLOOKING EACH OTHERS WRONG DOINGS, IGNORING EACH OTHERS WILLFUL WANTON ACTS, ESPECIALLY WHEN POSITIVE ACTION OF DUTY; SHOULD HAVE BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT THIS OUTRAGEOUS ACHIEVEMENT.

IN ALL DUE RESPECT; OF THE LAWS OF THE US CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; CALLING FOR THE REMOVAL FROM OFFICE OF THESE PUBLIC OFFICIALS, AGENTS OF THIS COURT; PERTAINING TO THE MALICIOUS PROSECUTION AFFIRMATION ACT OF MISCONDUCT, AND GROSS MISREPRESENTATION OF CONCEALMENT OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE; OF WHICH DEPUTY PROSECUTOR GEORGE W. GAGNON III WHO HAD A DIRECT DUTY TO DISCLOSE, WHICH HAD KNOWLEDGE OF AND IN POSSESSION AND CONTROL; INCLUDING ALL OTHER MATERIAL FACTS; THUS VIOLATING "THE SPECIFIC DUTIES OF THE PROSECUTOR'S [RULES 3.0-3.8] OF THE U.S CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.

14 OF 14

THIS INTENTIONAL WRONGFUL ACTS OF BEHAVIOR
OF THIS JURISDICTIONS WILLFUL FAILURE TO EXERCISE THE
STANDARDS OF CARE THAT ANY REASONABLE PRUDENT COURT
WOULD HAVE EXERCISE IN A SIMILAR SITUATION, AND ALL
OTHER MISCONDUCTS OF IMPEACHABLE OFFENSES THAT FALL
BELOW THE LEGAL STANDARDS ESTABLISHED TO PROTECT
OTHERS AGAINST UNREASONABLE RISK OF HARM AND
RECKLESS DISREGARDS OF PERSONS RIGHTS, COUPLED WITH
KNOWINGLY AND UNDERSTANDING THAT INJURY WILL BE
EMINENT.

15 OF 15

THEIR ACTIVE MISCONDUCT OF THESE OFFICERS AND AGENTS OF PUBLIC OFFICE, WHO HAS INTENTIONALLY, WILLFULLY, VOLUNTARILY WITH RECKLESSNESS OF ORDINARY CARE TO PREVENT A KNOWN DANGER; HOWEVER, CHOSE TO BE MISCREANTS PERFORMING THE GROSSFUL WRONGFUL ACTS OF ABUSE OF PROCESS, ABUSE OF POWER AND BREACH OF PUBLIC TRUST.

THIS TYPE OF INFRACTIONS PORTRAYED BY THESE OFFICERS; MUST NOT, WILL NOT, BE TOLERATED WITHIN THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM; WHICH WILL AUTHORIZE THE REMOVAL OF THESE PARTICIPANTS; STEMMED BY THIS CONSPIRACY; AND IS THE ABSOLUTE GROUND OF WHICH HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED.

IT IS AND WILL BE UNDERSTOOD THAT THESE CRIMINALS WILL ATTEMPT TO ESCAPE; UNDER SUCH IMMUNITIES AS; DISCRETIONARY, PROSECUTORIAL, QUALIFIED, AND EVEN ABSOLUTE; WHICH ARE ONE IN THE SAME.

HOWEVER; THE POSITION EACH TORTFEASOR SWORE IN OATH; TO UPHOLD THE LAW, TO PROTECT AND SERVE; TO ENSURE THAT ALL VICTIMS AND WITNESSES OF CRIME ARE TREATED WITH DIGNITY, RESPECT, COURTESY AND SENSITIVITY AND THAT

15 OF 15

THE RIGHTS ARE EXTENDED TO VICTIMS SURVIVORS OF VICTIMS
AND WITNESSES OF CRIME ARE HONORED AND PROTECTED BY LAW
ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES, PROSECUTORS AND JUDGES IN A
MANNER: NO LESS VIGOROUS THAN THE PROTECTION
AFFORDED CRIMINAL DEFENDMENT.

16 OF 16

HOWEVER; ON THE CONTRARY; IN THIS NONFEASANCE
CASE; THESE MISCREANTS OF THIS CONSPIRACY; ON THE OTHER
HAND WHEREBY; THIS INSTITUTIONS ACTIVITY'S AFFIRMATIVE,
SPECIFIC, AND IN VIOLATION OF STATUTORY REGULATION AND
U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS IMPOSING THE DUTIES UPON
THIS CONSPIRACY.

FURTHERMORE; A MUCH HIGHER AUTHORITY WILL
BE REQUIRED TO SUBSTANTIATE THAT THERE WILL NOT BE
ANY ROOM FOR ANY IMMUNITIES; THESE NUMEROUS
INFRACTIONS OF THESE INDIVIDUALS AND PUNISHMENT
IS "QUO WARRANTO"...

RELIEF 10F1

IN SPECIAL PRAYER: IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT THIS COURT UTILIZES ITS UTMOST POWER OF SOUND DISCRETION TO REVIEW AND REVISE THIS LOWER COURTS UNREASONABLE DECISION WITH THE DETERMINATION TO REVERSE FALSE MALICIOUS DEMONSTRATIONS THAT THIS INSTITUTION HAS PERFORMED.

MORESO: I PRAY AND DESIRE A STERN INVESTIGATION PERTAINING TO THE CONTINUED PATTERNS OF MALICIOUS TACTICS ALLOWED OF WHICH THIS VERDICT AND PUNISHMENT WAS ACHIEVED.

MOREOVER: THE TRUTH IN ACCORDANCE WITH FACT AND REALITY OF WHAT IS TRUE, ACTUALLY HAPPEN.

RELIEF 20F2

FURTHERMORE: FORESEEN MONEY DAMAGES: FOR HARM,
INJURY, DAMAGES, OF EACH TORTFEASOR; IN THE AMOUNT OF
\$ 6,000,000, ⁰⁰ ONE million DOLLARS AND NO/100 CENTS.
TOTAL CLAIM: \$ 4,000,000, ⁰⁰
FOUR million DOLLARS AND NO/100 CENTS.

SPECIAL RELIEF MONEY DAMAGES: THE LOSS OF
SERVICE: HUSBANDS LAWSUITE AGAINST THIS INSTITUTION WHO
HAS TAKEN AWAY AND IMPRISONED AND PHYSICALLY HARMED
HIS WIFE IN CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH THESE ACTS IS
WRONGFUL TO HIS WIFE AND THE HUSBAND IS DEPRIVED OF
HER SOCIETY AND SERVICES. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$ 1,000,000, ⁰⁰
ONE million DOLLARS AND NO/100 CENTS.

I PRAY THAT DOUBLE DAMAGES BE INSTITUTED
BY THE COURTS BY STATUTE, TWICE THE AMOUNT THAT THE
FACT-FINDER DETERMINES IS OWED OR THE AMOUNT OF
ACTUAL DAMAGES AWARDDED.

TOTAL CLAIM: \$ 10,000,000, ⁰⁰
TEN million DOLLARS AND NO/100 CENTS.

Under Love

11-26-2012

UNITERS LEWIS LOVE #987298

FILED

M.S.U. C-5, E-B-4

NOV 28 2012

AIRWAY HEIGHTS CORRECTION CENTER:

P.O. BOX 2049

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION III
STATE OF WASHINGTON
By _____

AIRWAY HEIGHTS WA 99001

CASE # 308090

STATE OF WASHINGTON V. UNITERS LEWIS LOVE

S. POKANE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT NO. 101026674

RENEE S. TOWNSLEY:

CLERK / ADMINISTRATOR

I THANK YOU FOR YOUR NOVEMBER 1, 2012 LETTER,
AND THANK YOU FOR PROVIDING ME WITH THE INFORMATION AND
ADDITIONAL GROUND FOR REVIEW. ENCLOSED PLEASE FIND MY
PRO-SE STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL GROUNDS FOR REVIEW, TO
IDENTIFY THOSE ADDITIONAL MATTERS THAT PERTAINS TO, AND
WHICH REPRESENT THE ENTIRE CASES, THAT WERE NOT MENTIONED
IN SAID BRIEF AND OR DURING THIS TRIAL, ALONG WITH OTHER MAJOR
CONCERNS.

I HOPE TO HEAR FROM YOU VERY SOON, AND
PERHAPS WITHOUT DELAYS REGARDING THESE MOST IMPORTANT
ISSUES.

RESPECTFULLY:
Uniters Love

